

United States Patent and Trademark Office

TES DEPARTMENT OF COMME

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/605,781	10/26/2003		David Andrew Hooke		2780
37658	7590	06/01/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVID AN	DREW HO	OOKE	KAUFFMAN, BRIAN K		
39748 GORF	IAM LANE				
PALMDALE, CA 93551				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			3765	

DATE MAILED: 06/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/605,781	HOOKE, DAVID ANDREW				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Brian K Kauffman	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appore	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Oc	ctober 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/26/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not adequately describe how the position sensor detects the translational speed of the carriage. According to the specification, the frequency of the voltage varies with the speed of the carriage. It is never explained how the sensor is able to vary the frequency of the voltage in relation to the speed of the carriage. Varying the signal based on speed would imply that the frequency either increases or decreases as the speed increases or decreases, but it is never shown how a position detector would be able to determine the speed in order to alter the frequency since a position detector normally detects positions and not magnitude of speed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/605,781

Art Unit: 3765

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wakasugi (5,842,432).

In regard to claims 1-3, Wakasugi discloses an electronic stitch regulator comprising a position sensor (7); a sensor arm (14) that is pivotally mounted in the base plate and is preloaded longitudinally with a spring element; a base plate (3); an electronic circuit; an electrical output connector (fig. 5).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3, and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schweizer (6,871,606) in view of Sturdevant (5,414,940).

Art Unit: 3765

In regard to claims 1, 3 and 4, Schweizer discloses a position sensor (32) below the sewing machine (fig. 1). Sturdevant discloses a position sensor (14), a sensor arm (12), a base plate (16), and electronic circuit (fig. 2), an electrical output connector (fig. 2), and a spring element (18). Using Sturdevant's position sensor would provide an accurate means for detecting the position of the fabric. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schweizer's apparatus to utilize the contact position sensor as taught by Sturdevant in order to provide an effective means for detecting the position of the fabric.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reinke (4,534,304) discloses a stitch correction method and apparatus. Martell et al. (4,548,143) discloses a method and apparatus for varying the length of stitches sewn. Soyama et al. (4,584,9540 discloses detectors for use on a sewing machine. Takagi et al. (4,873,931) discloses a thread regulating apparatus. Schopf (5,553,563) discloses a rotary stitch regulator. Bruhl et al. (6,450,110) discloses a sewing machine with speed dependent stitch correction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian K Kauffman whose telephone number is (571)272-4988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F every week.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,781 Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (571)272-4983. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BKK 5/16/2005

> JOHN SI CALVERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700